The Plastic Surgery Milestone Project: Assessment Tools

A Joint Initiative of The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and The American Board of Plastic Surgery, Inc. Milestone evaluation is completed by the Clinical Competency Committee using resident assessments completed throughout resident education. These assessments are completed by faculty members, other care providers, and patients. The Plastic Surgery Milestone Working Group altered exiting assessment tools to simplify evaluating the Milestones. These assessment tools are not required.

PLASTIC SURGERY ASSESSMENT TOOLS

| Chart Audit of Patient Encounter | pg. 1 |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Evaluation of Presentation | pg. 2 |
| Observation of Patient Encounter | pg. 3 |
| Quality Improvement Review | pg. 4 |
| Residents as Teachers | pg. 5 |
| Surgical Skills Evaluation | pg. 6 |

CHART AUDIT of PATIENT ENCOUNTER in PLASTIC SURGERY

(Clinical Evaluation Exercise-CEX)

| Resident: | | | | Date: | |
|------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Evaluator: | | | | | |
| Diagnosis: | Setting: | Ambulatory | Inpatient | ED | Other |

Feedback given: Y N

| | Yes | Partial | No | N/A |
|---|-----|---------|----|-----|
| History | | | | |
| Chief complaint documented | | | | |
| History of present illness recorded | | | | |
| Pertinent past history documented | | | | |
| Physical Exam | | | | |
| Exam includes all appropriate elements | | | | |
| Medical Decision Making and Plan | | | | |
| Testing/imaging results available | | | | |
| Interpretation of diagnostic studies included | | | | |
| Documents appropriate evaluation/treatment | | | | |
| options | | | | |
| Documents discussion of risks/benefits/alternatives | | | | |
| Procedure (if done) documented accurately | | | | |
| Record Keeping | | | | |
| Note is timely | | | | |
| Note is concise and complete | | | | |
| No unapproved abbreviations | | | | |
| Coding is accurate and supported by this note | | | | |
| Overall Clinical Competence | | | | |

Comments:

EVALUATION of PRESENTATION in PLASTIC SURGERY

| Presentation Title: | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--------|---|------|
| Resident: | | | | _ Date | : | |
| Evaluator: Other Resident Defined Fellow Faculty Of Other Feedback Given: YN | | | | | | |
| 1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Average, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent | | | | | | /ery |
| Overall Organization: (e.g., conciseness, logical, smooth introduction, well-integrated, appropriate conclusion and closure) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Discussion of Objectives: (e.g., objectives covered, necessity, relevancy, disclosures) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Verbal Communication: (e.g., volume, tone, rate of delivery, punctuality, timeliness) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Non-verbal Communication: (e.g., eye contact, body language, appears comfortable and confident, use of notes, distracting mannerisms or gestures) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Audiovisual Materials and Hand-outs: (e.g., usefulness, organization, content, neatness, typos, readability, use of relevant graphics) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | NA |
| Content: (e.g., relevant to topic, accurate, references and citations, depth, evidence-based) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Audience Engagement: (e.g., elicits participation, has appropriate response to questions or comments, expands on the information presented) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| 8. Overall, I would rate this presentation as: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |

9. Please list comments that may help the resident improve further presentations:

OBSERVATION of PATIENT ENCOUNTER in PLASTIC SURGERY

(Clinical Evaluation Exercise-CEX)

| Resident: | | | | Date: | |
|------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Evaluator: | | | | | |
| Diagnosis | Setting: | Ambulatory | Inpatient | ED | Other |

| | Yes | Partial | No | N/A |
|---|-----|---------|----|-----|
| History | | | | |
| Obtains history in organized/focused way | | | | |
| Is attentive, good eye contact | | | | |
| Introduces self, addresses patient by name | | | | |
| Responds appropriately to affect/non-verbal cues | | | | |
| Physical Exam | | | | |
| Obtains physical in organized way | | | | |
| Exam includes all appropriate elements | | | | |
| Humanistic Qualities/Professionalism | | | | |
| Shows respect, compassion, empathy, | | | | |
| confidentiality | | | | |
| Works effectively with ancillary staff | | | | |
| Decision Making | | | | |
| Communicates possible diagnoses/avoids jargon | | | | |
| Communicates appropriate evaluation/treatment | | | | |
| options | | | | |
| Discusses risks/benefits/alternatives | | | | |
| Allows further questions/elicits patient preference | | | | |
| Communicates what to expect | | | | |
| Encounter is timely and succinct | | | | |
| Considers cost-effectiveness of testing and | | | | |
| treatment | | | | |
| Record Keeping | | | | |
| Note is timely, concise, and complete | | | | |
| Coding is accurate and supported by documentation | | | | |
| Overall Clinical Competence | | | | |

Comments:

Patient Comments (if any):

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT REVIEW (M&M) IN PLASTIC SURGERY

| Presentation Title: | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| Resident: | | | | Date: |
| Evaluator: | Resident | □ Fellow | Faculty | Other |
| Feedback Given: Y N | | | | |
| Initial Procedure: | | | | |

Brief Description of Complication:

| Patient Care | Yes | No | NA |
|---|-----|----|----|
| Appropriately obtained/documented informed consent | | | |
| Appropriately managed original patient issue | | | |
| Appropriately managed complication | | | |
| Medical Knowledge | | | |
| Understands the root cause of the morbidity/mortality | | | |
| Understands the management options of the original patient issue | | | |
| Understands the management options of the resulting complication | | | |
| Systems-based Practice | | | |
| Demonstrated an understanding of the resources available to provide | | | |
| optimal patient care | | | |
| Demonstrated cost-conscious, evidence-based treatment strategies | | | |
| Report to Quality Improvement committees to improve practices | | | |
| Practice-based Learning and Improvement | | | |
| Effectively reviewed the literature and scientific evidence relative to | | | |
| this complication | | | |
| Suggests appropriate practice modifications to prevent future | | | |
| occurrences | | | |
| Professionalism | | | |
| Disclosed information to the appropriate parties/patient | | | |
| Appropriate medico-legal documentation | | | |
| Interpersonal and Communication Skills | | | |
| Presented in a succinct and engaging manner with the appropriate AV | | | |
| enhancements. | | | |
| Presented information appropriate to the range of the learner | | | |
| backgrounds present at conference. | | | |

Steps to improve quality of patient care: _____

RESIDENTS as TEACHERS in PLASTIC SURGERY

Instructions: Please use the scale to rate the above-named resident on his or her teaching skills during your clerkship rotation. This should be a resident with whom you have had at least a minimal amount of contact during this clerkship. Please add any comments as indicated. The results of this evaluation will be shared with the resident, the residency program director, and the clerkship director. **Your comments will remain anonymous.**

| Resid | Resident Name: | | | |
|-------|---|-------|---------|----|
| Clerk | ship Rotation: | | | |
| Exter | nt of contact with resident during rotation: | | | |
| | Minimal (<1 day)Moderate (<1 week)Extensive (>1 | week) | | |
| 1. | The resident clearly communicated the learning goals. (Interpersonal and | | | |
| | Communication Skills) | Yes | Partial | No |
| 2. | The resident clearly delineated my roles and responsibilities. (Systems- based Practice) | Yes | Partial | No |
| 3. | The resident exercised team leadership. (Systems-based Practice) | Yes | Partial | No |
| 4. | The resident demonstrated a positive attitude toward teaching and learners. (Professionalism) | Yes | Partial | No |
| 5. | The resident demonstrated knowledge in plastic surgery and recommended resources for study. (Medical Knowledge) | Yes | Partial | No |
| 6. | The resident demonstrated clinical knowledge and abilities (Patient Care) and provided an opportunity for clinical skill development. | Yes | Partial | No |
| 7. | The resident provided timely, constructive feedback. (Practice-based Learning and Improvement) | Yes | Partial | No |
| 8. | The resident provided a supportive environment that promoted collaborative learning. | Yes | Partial | No |
| 9. | The resident served as a mentor or role model for me. (Practice-based Learning and Improvement) | Yes | Partial | No |
| 10. | Provide an overall rating for this resident:ExcellentVery GoodAver | ageF | air Po | or |
| 11. | Overall Comments: | | | |

SURGICAL SKILLS EVALUATION in PLASTIC SURGERY

| Resident: | | | _ Resident Level: |
|---|--|---|--|
| Evaluator: | | | Date: |
| Procedure | | | |
| Feedback Given: Y N | | | |
| 1. Pre-operative Preparation (Labs, Ph 1 2 | | 4 | 5 |
| Deficient pre-operative preparation and planning | Mostly complete pre-operative preparation, still with missing components | | Thorough pre-operative preparation and planning |
| 2. Justification of Procedure Selected a 1 2 | | | 4 |
| Limited understanding of appropriate procedure and inability to list alternative options | Adequate understanding of procedure of choice, with incomplete understanding of benefits, risks, and alternative options | | Appropriate choice of procedure with clear insight into benefits, risks, and alternative options |
| 3. Attention to Safety (consent, site main 1 2 | | 4 | 5 |
| Lack of understanding of patient safety | Commitment to patient safety, occasionally missing minor details | | Thorough attention to details of patient safety |
| 4. Surgical Marking, Positioning and Pr 1 2 | rep/Drape 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Inappropriate positioning and/or inaccurate marking | Adequate positioning with some refinements required in surgical marking | | Appropriate positioning and completely accurate marking |
| 5. Knowledge of Surgical Steps and Flov 1 2 | | 4 | 5 |
| Needs specific instruction at most steps and seemed unsure of next move | Knows the important steps with reasonable progression of the procedure | | Familiar with all steps of operation and effortless flow from one step to the next |
| 6. Handling of tissue, instruments, and 1 2 | devices 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Tentative or awkward moves resulting in damage of tissues | Occasionally awkward movements and unnecessary force to tissue | | Fluid movement with appropriate tissue handling |
| 7. Time and motion and use of assistar 1 2 | | 4 | 5 |
| Many unnecessary movements, fails to use assistants appropriately | Some unnecessary movements, appropriate use of assistants most of the time | | Economy of movement with maximum efficiency and strategic use of assistants |

| 8. Demeanor, temperament, and te | | | _ |
|--|---|------------|--|
| 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Inappropriately timid or overly aggressive | Generally appropriate temperament and demea with occasional lapse un stressful conditions | anor | Even temperament and calm demeanor at all times |
| 9. Handling of intra-operative even | ts and complications | | |
| 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Unable to anticipate and address unplanned events or complications | Able to appropriately add most untoward events a complications | | Effortlessly handles unplanned events/complications with thorough appreciation of life- boats |
| 10. Analysis of procedure and imm | ediate post-operative result | | |
| 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Unable to evaluate outcome or critique the process | Has general appreciation outcome and partial abili objectively critique the pro- | tv to | Has realistic appreciation of the results and able to objectively critique process |
| 11. Dressing/splinting and post-ope | erative plan | | |
| 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Inappropriate choice of dressings/splints, with incomplete post-operative plan | Generally acceptable dressing/splint, with par post-operative plan, occasionally missing det | tial | Appropriate application of dressings/splints, and thorough post-operative plan |
| 12. CPT coding | | | |
| 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Lacks ability to choose correct CPT code | Demonstrates genera understanding of approp CPT coding | l riate | Sophisticated understanding and ethical choice of CPT coding and modifiers |
| 13. Overall Performance Level | | | |
| 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Unable to perform independently | Able to perform with so assistance | me | Capable of performing independently |
| 14. Comments: | | | |
| | | | |